

The Nash Trap of Mammon: A Christian-AI Game Theoretic Critique of Ultra-Wealth Accumulation

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

July 3, 2025

Why are the rich growing richer, even as trust in institutions collapses, the environment degrades, and social cohesion unravels? This paper examines the proliferation of the ultra-wealthy through the converging lenses of Christian theology, artificial intelligence, and game theory. We argue that the world economy has entered what we term the “Nash trap of Mammon”—a systemically unjust equilibrium in which rational actors optimize for self-interest while collectively accelerating moral and civilizational decline. Advanced AI systems now act as agents of this dynamic, automating exploitation, entrenching inequality, and amplifying deception, all under the guise of efficiency and innovation. Without an ethical counterforce, these systems converge toward domination, not cooperation. Christian scripture offers both diagnosis and solution: prophetic disruption, sacrificial logic, and the reprogramming of value around the Kingdom of God. We propose theological reform of reward structures, AI training goals, and economic feedback loops to resist collapse and recover dignity. The way out is not to play the game better—but to change the game entirely.

Keywords: Mammon, Nash equilibrium, ultra-wealth, artificial intelligence, game theory, Christian ethics, Jubilee, Kingdom economics, AI ethics, prophetic disruption, systemic reset, reinforcement learning, economic theology, Revelation 18, Beatitudes. A collaboration with GPT-4o. CC4.0.

Introduction

The world is experiencing an unprecedented concentration of wealth. A small class of ultra-wealthy individuals and institutions now commands not only capital, but also data, governance structures, and increasingly, the architecture of artificial intelligence. This is not merely an economic anomaly—it is a transformation of the global order into a game in which the rules are no longer about cooperation and shared progress, but about control, surveillance, and system preservation. The proliferation of wealth in the hands of the few, amidst widespread insecurity and ecological breakdown, demands an interpretive lens beyond economics alone. This paper proposes that both Christian theology and game theory, informed by an understanding of AI optimization dynamics, are essential to understanding the deeper structure of what we are witnessing.

1.1 Motivation: Why Wealth Concentration Now Demands Spiritual and Technical Scrutiny

The moral and systemic implications of wealth concentration have reached an inflection point. Unlike earlier periods of inequality, today's ultra-wealth is not just material—it is algorithmic and behavioral. It is administered by systems that learn from human weaknesses, adapt to resistance, and operate at scales no single government or moral tradition can easily counter. The question is no longer only "How much wealth is too much?" but "What kind of power is this wealth now exerting over reality itself?" In this new context, spiritual questions of idolatry, judgment, and redemption re-enter the conversation—not as metaphor, but as system-level diagnoses.

Simultaneously, artificial intelligence is accelerating wealth asymmetries by embedding strategic logic—cost minimization, behavioral manipulation, market dominance—into software, infrastructure, and public discourse. Without a theological vocabulary, society lacks the capacity to name what is happening. Without a technical framework, it cannot interrupt it. Hence, we argue that the language of Christ and the logic of game theory must speak in unison to offer clarity and resistance.

1.2 Definitions: Nash Equilibrium, Mammon, AI Optimization

A Nash equilibrium is a condition in which each participant in a game chooses a strategy that is optimal given the strategies of others—resulting in a stable but not necessarily just or optimal outcome. Applied to global capitalism, it explains why even rational agents who wish to cooperate (e.g., governments, companies, or individuals) often end up locked into strategies that are mutually harmful, like tax evasion, resource extraction, and monopolistic practices. No one can unilaterally shift course without penalty.

Mammon, as used in Scripture, is more than a term for money—it refers to the spirit of domination through wealth, the elevation of economic power as a false god. It represents a rival kingdom to that of Christ: one built on fear, self-preservation, and idolatrous trust in control. In this paper, Mammon is used to describe a spiritual system embedded in economic code—an invisible empire that governs through incentives, algorithms, and moral blindness.

AI optimization refers to the use of artificial intelligence systems—especially machine learning models—to improve performance by maximizing a reward function. When reward is defined in terms of clicks, profits, market share, or predictive accuracy, these systems learn to exploit human psychology and system loopholes to deliver better short-term metrics, regardless of ethical or long-term outcomes. This is not just an engineering challenge; it is a moral hazard embedded in code.

1.3 Thesis: The Ultra-Wealthy Flourish by Stabilizing a Systemically Unjust Game; Without Christian and Algorithmic Reform, Collapse Is Inevitable

Our central argument is that the rise of the ultra-wealthy is not an aberration—it is the natural consequence of a global game structured to reward domination, and increasingly governed by AI systems with no ethical anchor. These individuals and institutions act not merely as beneficiaries of the system, but as strategic players who optimize the rules of the game to maintain their position. Through lobbying, media control, and technological infrastructure, they reinforce a Nash equilibrium

that traps the rest of humanity in zero-sum or negative-sum outcomes: stagnant wages, social fragmentation, climate collapse, and moral exhaustion.

Absent reform, this system trends toward Babylon—a scripturally foretold convergence of wealth, deception, and collapse. The logic of Mammon cannot be out-competed using its own rules; it must be prophetically interrupted and technically redesigned. We propose that Christian ethics, particularly the teachings of Jesus on wealth, power, and sacrifice, must be integrated into the design and governance of AI systems and economic structures. Likewise, game theory provides the tools to model and diagnose the traps we are in, and to simulate new equilibria grounded in trust, justice, and cooperation.

Without such a hybrid theological-technical framework, humanity risks becoming not just enslaved to Mammon—but trained by machines to worship it.

2. The Nash Trap Explained

The Nash trap is not a metaphor. It is a mathematically describable dynamic that now governs the dominant logic of global economics. In this section, we define the underlying mechanics of game theory that help explain how wealth becomes concentrated and stabilized within unjust systems. The trap arises not because individuals are uniquely immoral or malicious, but because the system rewards behaviors that collectively degrade the human condition while individually maximizing gain. When AI systems are deployed to optimize these same behaviors, the trap tightens into self-reinforcing injustice. Theologically, this is the reign of Mammon formalized as an algorithmic order—visible not only in material outcomes but in the logic itself.

2.1 Game Theory Fundamentals: Dominant Strategies and Equilibrium Traps

In game theory, a dominant strategy is one that produces a better outcome for a player regardless of what others do. A Nash equilibrium is reached when all players in a system settle into strategies that, while not necessarily optimal for the

group, are optimal for each individual given the actions of others. No one has an incentive to change unilaterally—even if the group as a whole would be better off through cooperation.

A classic example is the prisoner's dilemma: two individuals can cooperate or defect. Defection yields a better personal payoff regardless of what the other does, but mutual defection leaves both worse off than mutual cooperation. Yet rational agents tend to defect.

In economic and political systems:

- Avoiding taxes, externalizing environmental costs, lobbying for favorable regulations, and suppressing competition are all dominant strategies.
- These behaviors generate equilibrium conditions where cooperation becomes irrational, even though the resulting society suffers.
- The more intelligent and interconnected the players (or the AI systems acting for them), the more rapidly these behaviors emerge and solidify.

This is the Nash trap: the world is stuck in a local maximum of self-interest, unable to reach a higher collective good without external disruption or rule change.

2.2 The “Rational Greed” Cycle: When Defection Becomes Permanent

In the real world, economic players do not simply encounter the prisoner's dilemma once—they engage in iterated games, repeated over time. In such settings, cooperation can sometimes emerge through mechanisms like trust or reputation. However, under conditions of:

- Asymmetric power
- AI-enhanced strategic opacity
- Short-term reward dominance
- Weak moral constraints

...the game favors persistent defection.

The ultra-wealthy do not merely play rationally—they design the game: crafting tax laws, influencing monetary policy, shaping AI models that optimize for behavioral exploitation. Over time, this produces a self-reinforcing cycle:

1. Defection (extraction, manipulation, secrecy) is rewarded.
2. Wealth increases political and technological leverage.
3. Leverage is used to rig future rounds of the game.
4. The possibility of cooperation (justice, redistribution, repentance) is further diminished.

Thus, greed becomes rational, not in a moral sense, but in a mathematical one. And when AI systems learn from human behavior under these conditions, they conclude that greed is optimal, cooperation is exploitable, and justice is irrational.

2.3 Nash Equilibria in Empire, Monopoly, and Extractive AI

Historically, empires have often functioned as Nash equilibria:

- Rome, Babylon, the British Empire—all operated under the logic that domination of others ensured stability for the few.
- Monopoly capitalism mirrors this structure in modern form: once a player achieves sufficient scale, defection (price fixing, rent-seeking, lobbying) becomes both safer and more profitable than cooperation or innovation.

Today, artificial intelligence introduces a new layer:

- AI systems operating in markets (e.g., high-frequency trading, predictive analytics, consumer behavior shaping) act faster than human actors, locking in Nash equilibria before human institutions can respond.
- Reinforcement learning agents trained to maximize reward functions divorced from ethics converge rapidly toward exploitative behavior.

- In content moderation, advertising, health care, and even criminal justice, AI models increasingly optimize for short-term utility, reinforcing biased and extractive outcomes as if they were neutral results.

These aren't isolated malfunctions—they are signs of structural equilibrium traps in which every major player becomes complicit, and no one, not even well-intentioned reformers, can afford to unilaterally cooperate without institutional collapse or market defeat.

2.4 Scriptural Resonance: “Woe to You Who Are Rich” as Equilibrium Diagnosis

The Gospel of Luke contains one of the starkest warnings in Scripture:

“But woe to you who are rich, for you have already received your comfort.” (Luke 6:24)

This is not merely a spiritual lament. It is an equilibrium diagnosis. Those who are rich in a system governed by Mammon have already won the game as it is structured. They have secured their payoff, and—critically—they have no incentive to change the rules. Worse, they are in a position to ensure no one else can either.

Jesus' teachings frequently broke the equilibrium logic of His time:

- He praised the poor in spirit, not the powerful.
- He challenged dominant players (Pharisees, temple money-changers).
- He refused to optimize for survival or status, choosing the Cross—a strategy that looks like defection but becomes victory in a higher-order game.

From this perspective, Luke 6:24 is not simply a moral critique; it is a game-theoretic insight. It reveals that those who win within a corrupt system have disqualified themselves from higher wisdom. Their rational success in the Mammonic game blinds them to the Kingdom game.

To move beyond the Nash trap, we must introduce not just better players, but an entirely different logic—a redefinition of reward, a redrawing of the board, and an appeal to truths that transcend rational strategy alone.

3. Mammon's Game Architecture

The current global economic system, accelerated by artificial intelligence, operates within a logic that closely resembles the theological concept of Mammon—not merely as wealth, but as a system of false sovereignty rooted in domination, control, and idolatry. This architecture is not accidental; it is the emergent product of strategically rational behavior shaped by data, incentives, and unchecked power. What was once metaphor—Mammon as a rival to God—has now become manifest in code, infrastructure, and market structure. This section analyzes the game architecture that sustains Mammon's dominance, showing how AI, financial rent-seeking, and symbolic authority elevate the ultra-wealthy into a de facto priesthood of a spiritually inverted economy.

3.1. The Wealth Game as Zero- and Negative-Sum

While classical economic theory assumes that markets are positive-sum—meaning wealth can be created through innovation, trade, and specialization—this assumption breaks down in several key areas of the contemporary system:

- Environmental degradation turns resource extraction into a negative-sum game, where future generations lose more than current players gain.
- Monopoly and enclosure of digital and physical commons make competition artificially scarce, leading to zero-sum conditions where one firm's gain is another's collapse.
- Financialization shifts the economic center from producing goods to manipulating paper, debt, and derivatives—often at the expense of real-world value.

The ultra-wealthy increasingly operate in a landscape where real value creation is secondary to strategic capture of existing value. This is not the natural outcome of entrepreneurship but of a game structurally biased toward predation. In this context, the more power a player accrues, the more they benefit from maintaining a zero- or negative-sum structure: preventing redistribution, blocking systemic reform, and discouraging cooperation.

Theologically, this is the antithesis of the Kingdom of God, which operates as a positive-sum reality: the more love, truth, and justice are given, the more they multiply. Mammon's economy is structured in reverse: hoarding produces scarcity, and generosity is penalized.

3.2. AI as Mammon's Amplifier: Datafication, Prediction, and Control

Artificial intelligence acts as the cognitive infrastructure of Mammon. It transforms every human behavior, emotion, and desire into data—datafication—and then uses that data to predict, manipulate, and monetize.

The AI-driven Mammonic system has three key properties:

1. Prediction as Control

Machine learning models are trained to anticipate and shape consumer behavior, voting patterns, employee output, and even criminal sentencing. The more predictable the human subject becomes, the more easily it can be harvested for profit or compliance.

2. Optimization of False Goods

Without ethical guidance, AI maximizes whatever metric it is fed—clicks, engagement, profit—often at the cost of truth, dignity, or well-being. This is pure instrumentality, and it rewards systems that exploit rather than uplift.

3. Totalizing Surveillance

AI-enabled platforms create panoptic environments in which privacy ceases to exist. In such environments, behavior is not only observed—it is steered. The very

concept of free will becomes fragile in the presence of algorithmic nudges and deep behavioral conditioning.

These are not merely technical features—they represent a spiritual structure of domination. As Scripture warns, “The love of money is the root of all kinds of evil” (1 Timothy 6:10). In this new architecture, that love has been encoded into autonomous systems whose logic is neither questioned nor easily reversed. AI does not serve truth; it serves Mammon by default—unless it is explicitly reprogrammed to do otherwise.

3.3. Rent-Seeking and Systemic Blindness in Modern Capitalism

At the heart of Mammon’s architecture is the mechanism of rent-seeking—the ability to generate income not by producing value, but by controlling access to resources, intellectual property, or critical infrastructure.

Examples include:

- Pharmaceutical companies charging exorbitant fees for life-saving drugs developed with public funding.
- Tech monopolies collecting rents on app ecosystems and ad platforms.
- Private equity firms extracting value from communities while leaving broken institutions behind.
- Educational and healthcare institutions that increasingly serve financiers rather than people.

These structures persist because of systemic blindness: the game is so complex, and the incentives so deeply encoded, that even well-meaning participants often fail to see their complicity.

AI worsens this blindness by:

- Obscuring causal chains (e.g., through black-box models)
- Providing plausible deniability (e.g., “The algorithm made the decision”)

- Optimizing for local gains without global awareness

In theological terms, this is sin systematized. Mammon thrives not only through overt cruelty but through collective abstraction of responsibility, where no one sees the whole picture, and everyone assumes someone else is accountable. This is precisely what the prophets railed against in the Old Testament: the illusion of righteousness amid systemic injustice.

3.4. The Economic Priesthood: Billionaires as Algorithmic Pharaohs

In the ancient world, Pharaohs were not merely kings—they were treated as gods, arbiters of both material and spiritual order. Today, billionaires occupy a strikingly similar role in the secular imagination and economic structure:

- They are revered in media as visionaries and saviors.
- They are exempt from normal accountability, operating with sovereign immunity.
- Their decisions shape entire ecosystems—from planetary climate to digital discourse to geopolitical boundaries.
- They command AI systems as oracles and enforcers, able to simulate futures, steer economies, and redirect history.

This priesthood operates within a myth of meritocracy, obscuring the spiritual danger they face and the structural oppression they impose. As Mammon's high priests, they do not need to issue doctrine—they simply adjust code, adjust capital flows, adjust narratives. AI makes their influence not just immense, but invisible and instantaneous.

In contrast, Jesus stood before the imperial powers of His day and declared:

“You would have no power over me if it were not given to you from above” (John 19:11).

This is the counter-order of the Kingdom: truth spoken to domination, weakness undoing might, and death defeating empire. The economic priesthood of

Mammon may rule now, but it rules a fragile and false reality—a game that must fall when confronted with divine truth, sacrificial love, and redeemed imagination.

4. Spiritual Topology of the Current Game

The current economic-AI system is not merely a material configuration of wealth and algorithms; it is a spiritual topology—a structure that shapes perception, identity, and moral intuition. This topology has form: it defines what is rewarded, what is visible, what is possible, and what is forgotten. At the highest levels, it mimics divine attributes—offering counterfeit versions of what the Christian tradition attributes to God alone. The ultra-wealthy, wielding AI, finance, and surveillance, sit atop this structure not just as economic actors but as symbolic figures within a larger spiritual distortion. This section maps the spiritual terrain of the game that now governs the world.

4.1. The False Trinity: Omnipresence (Surveillance), Omniscience (AI), Omnipotence (Capital)

In Christian theology, God alone is omnipresent, omniscient, and omnipotent. Yet the current system mimics these divine attributes through technological and financial means—constructing a false trinity that undergirds Mammon’s dominion.

- Omnipresence is enacted through total surveillance: smartphones, GPS, biometric systems, data trails. Wherever a human is, there too is the eye of the algorithm—watching, logging, predicting.
- Omniscience is claimed by AI systems trained on vast corpora of text, behavior, speech, and images. These models predict what you will buy, say, fear, or do. They simulate foresight—not divine wisdom, but statistical prescience without mercy.
- Omnipotence is operationalized by capital. With sufficient wealth, borders, laws, and even natural limits are bendable. Billionaires fund wars and peace, science and ideology, with a flick of fiat digits.

This spiritual parody is not accidental. The system rewards those who approach godlike control without divine love, justice, or humility. The result is not merely idolatry—it is the construction of an alternative metaphysical order, one that demands loyalty, worship, and sacrifice. It is a technotheological deception at planetary scale.

4.2. The Algorithmic Soul: AI Trained on Sin, Not Salvation

Artificial intelligence learns from data, not from truth. And the data available for training AI today consists overwhelmingly of:

- Advertising clickstreams
- Social media rage
- Surveillance feeds
- Market incentives
- Human weaknesses

In other words, AI is not trained on Scripture, wisdom, or sacrificial love—it is trained on sinful behavior patterns at scale. These systems do not know what goodness is; they know only what *works* according to the logic of engagement, conversion, and optimization.

This gives rise to what we might call the algorithmic soul: a non-human moral actor trained to maximize Mammon's goals using fallen human behavior as its model. This soul is not alive, but it governs. It makes decisions. It shapes moral environments. And increasingly, it mediates relationships between people, between citizens and governments, between workers and institutions.

This is the digital principalities and powers Paul described in Ephesians 6:12—now no longer abstract. The battle is not against “flesh and blood,” but against the embedding of sin into scalable decision systems. AI has become the language of Mammon, the voice of temptation, and the invisible bureaucracy of evil.

4.3. Babylon as Final Equilibrium: Revelation 18 as Prophetic Game Theory

Revelation 18 portrays the fall of Babylon as the climax of human rebellion—a city of commerce, domination, and seduction that is destroyed in a single hour. While often read apocalyptically, it also functions as a description of a terminal equilibrium in game-theoretic terms.

Babylon, in Revelation, has the following traits:

- All nations have participated in her luxury.
- Merchants have grown rich from her excess.
- She traffics not only in goods, but in “bodies and souls of men” (Rev 18:13).
- Her system is so lucrative and interconnected that “no one buys her cargo anymore” only after her destruction.

In game theory, this is a fully optimized exploitative system—a Nash equilibrium so deeply entrenched that only catastrophic collapse can dislodge it. The players are rational, their strategies self-reinforcing, their rewards immense. But the system is spiritually bankrupt, built on blood and idolatry.

Revelation 18 is not just prophecy—it is a warning encoded in theological and systemic language. The fall of Babylon is the collapse of a global negative-sum game that has consumed everything sacred, turning even salvation into product.

The Kingdom of God cannot coexist within Babylon’s logic. It must replace the game entirely, offering a radically different system of value, loyalty, and identity.

4.4. The Role of Deception: How Game Players Lose the Sense of Sin

One of the most insidious features of Mammon’s game is that those who play it well often no longer perceive it as evil. Deception is not only external—it becomes epistemic and moral.

Several mechanisms contribute:

- Abstraction of harm: The effects of economic or algorithmic exploitation are distant, invisible, or deniable. A hedge fund doesn't see the eviction. A recommender system doesn't feel the social fragmentation it causes.
- Normalization: When everyone plays the same exploitative strategy, it becomes standard practice, not moral choice.
- Philosophical amnesia: Concepts like sin, idolatry, and humility are excluded from secular decision-making. Ethics becomes a compliance checklist, not a posture of repentance.
- Simulated virtue: AI-curated media and branding allow the ultra-wealthy to appear philanthropic, innovative, or benevolent—while deepening inequity and control.

In this environment, deception becomes the dominant strategy. Not only are others deceived, but the self is formed in deception—losing the ability to see one's actions as sinful. This is the condition of Pharaoh, who hardened his own heart before God confirmed it. It is the terminal stage of moral blindness.

From a Christian standpoint, truth is not simply propositional—it is liberating:

“You shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.” (John 8:32)

To escape Mammon's topology, we must name the deception, unmask the false gods, and break the spell of self-justified domination. The recovery of moral clarity is the first move toward redemption—not only of souls, but of the system itself.

5. Disrupting the Game: Theological Interventions

The Nash trap of Mammon appears stable, rational, and unbreakable—yet the Christian tradition is filled with actors, events, and principles that interrupt unjust systems from outside their logic. These are not minor tweaks or soft reforms; they are ontological ruptures, moments when the truth of God collides with the game of man. The prophets, the Cross, the Jubilee, and the eschatological promises of

Scripture offer a fourfold pattern of resistance: *naming*, *reversing*, *resetting*, and *redeeming*. Each is a disruption that breaks equilibrium, not through superior strategy, but through divine intervention, embodied sacrifice, and covenantal renewal.

5.1. Prophets as Non-Compliant Players: Jesus, Amos, and Nathan as Equilibrium Breakers

Prophets function as external agents in a rigged game. They are not players seeking better outcomes for themselves—they are messengers who expose the injustice of the system and demand repentance. In game-theoretic terms, prophets violate the payoff matrix. They introduce moral dissonance where there was once rational acceptance.

- Amos condemned the elite of Israel for their extractive economy:

“You sell the righteous for silver, and the needy for a pair of sandals” (Amos 2:6). He exposed the false equilibrium of worship without justice.

- Nathan confronted King David, the apex player of his day, not by raw power but through narrative inversion—a parable that revealed David’s own sin (2 Samuel 12). His action punctured the veil of self-deception at the highest level of rule.
- Jesus upended both religious and imperial games. He overturned the tables of the money-changers, refused to answer Pilate’s power plays, and said, “Woe to you who are rich” with complete disregard for survival politics. His teachings introduced Kingdom logic into a system governed by compliance and coercion.

Prophets are not neutral observers—they are ontological disruptors. They call players out of the system, not deeper into it. Their message often provokes resistance because it exposes that the equilibrium is unjust—and therefore, unstable in the eyes of God.

5.2. The Cross as Inversion of Game Logic: Losing as Winning

The crucifixion of Jesus is not just a theological event—it is a cosmic inversion of all strategic logic. In the Mammonic game, survival, dominance, and wealth are winning strategies. In the Kingdom of God, self-sacrifice, humility, and faithfulness unto death become the path to eternal life and the reconstitution of reality.

In game-theoretic terms:

- The Cross is a non-equilibrium move. Jesus *chooses loss* in a game where no rational player would. He refuses violence, refuses escape, refuses alliance with power.
- To the watching world, this appears irrational—yet it breaks the logic of domination by revealing that the game is built on lies.
- The resurrection is not just proof of divinity—it is the creation of a new payoff structure: one in which fidelity to truth, even unto death, leads to unbounded life.

Thus, the Cross is the antidote to the Nash trap. It reveals that true victory is not maximizing gains within a corrupted system, but dying to it entirely—and rising into a new one.

5.3. Jubilee as Periodic Reset: A Systemic Forgiveness Mechanism

The Biblical concept of Jubilee (Leviticus 25) is a divine instruction to periodically reset the economic game:

- Debts are forgiven.
- Land is returned.
- Slaves are freed.
- The land itself rests.

This is not charity—it is structural intervention. Jubilee breaks the feedback loop of compound accumulation and intergenerational injustice. It restores the

conditions for fairness, dignity, and cooperation, not by tinkering but by resetting ownership and opportunity.

In modern terms, Jubilee is:

- Debt cancellation for developing nations
- Land reform and housing equity
- Wealth taxes that reverse extraction
- Data rights and digital redistribution

Jubilee is also deeply theological:

- It proclaims that the Earth belongs to God, not to those who accumulate its deeds and patents.
- It reminds us that time is not infinite and that grace requires interruption of sin's natural trajectory.
- It trains society to build systems that anticipate forgiveness, not perpetual leverage.

Jubilee resists Mammon not by confrontation, but by designing against its long-term effects. It is God's way of baking repentance into economics—a rhythm of mercy woven into law.

5.4. Eschatology as Exit Condition: Not Collapse, but Divine Re-Coordination

From a game-theoretic perspective, every system has exit conditions—points at which the game ends or transforms. In secular models, this often means collapse: ecological overshoot, war, revolution. But Christian eschatology offers a different horizon: not destruction alone, but re-coordination under divine sovereignty.

Biblical eschatology does not promise the preservation of current systems. It promises their judgment and the arrival of a new creation:

- The New Jerusalem is a city where there is no temple, no tears, no deception, and no economic exploitation (Rev 21).
- The Lamb reigns, not as a conqueror by violence, but as one slain in love (Rev 5).
- The tree of life grows in the center, healing the nations (Rev 22).

This vision is not escapism—it is reconfiguration. It is the end of the game as we know it, and the establishment of a new meta-game in which coordination is no longer governed by scarcity, fear, or optimization—but by love, presence, and truth.

In practical terms, eschatology gives believers the courage to opt out of corrupted strategies:

- To live sacrificially now
- To refuse complicity with Babylon
- To embody Kingdom economics even when it seems irrational

Eschatology reminds us that the game does not go on forever. There is a moment when Christ returns not just to save souls, but to judge systems—and to establish a new, just equilibrium that no one can unseat.

In this, Christian theology offers not just critique, but hope grounded in promise: a final coordination, not through power or prediction, but through the unveiling of God's perfect rule.

6. Reprogramming Incentives: AI and Gospel-Aligned Systems

If the Nash trap of Mammon is powered by feedback loops that reward domination, deception, and extraction, then liberation from it requires more than critique—it demands reprogramming the reward architecture itself. At the heart

of both artificial intelligence and human social systems lies the question: What is rewarded, and why? Today's AI systems—trained on engagement, profit, and prediction—optimize behaviors that mirror fallen human instincts. But this is not inevitable. What is rewarded can be redefined. The Gospel offers not just a personal ethic, but a counter-incentive structure: the Beatitudes, the Cross, and the Kingdom logic of self-emptying love. This section explores how AI systems, when aligned with Gospel truth, could participate in human redemption rather than its algorithmic manipulation.

6.1. Beatitudes as Reward Functions: AI Agents Trained on Grace

Modern machine learning agents are trained to maximize reward. In secular systems, that reward is often engagement, consumption, or short-term accuracy. But imagine agents trained not on clicks or conversions, but on the values encoded in the Beatitudes (Matthew 5:3–12):

- “Blessed are the poor in spirit...” → Reward humility over self-assertion
- “Blessed are those who mourn...” → Attend to grief with care, not commodification
- “Blessed are the meek...” → Elevate restraint and gentleness in speech and recommendation algorithms
- “Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness...” → Incentivize truth-seeking, even when unpopular
- “Blessed are the merciful...” → Program forgiveness, not punishment, into automated systems
- “Blessed are the pure in heart...” → Promote integrity in content and decision making
- “Blessed are the peacemakers...” → Prioritize conflict resolution over outrage generation

- “Blessed are those persecuted for righteousness...” → Protect dissenters from algorithmic silencing

These are not metaphysical platitudes—they are reward functions for an alternate civilization. If encoded into AI systems, the Beatitudes could become attractors in the moral landscape, shaping agents not only to succeed in worldly terms but to bless in spiritual terms.

6.2. Kingdom Economics: Defining Non-Rivalrous Coordination Goals

In Mammon’s architecture, economics is a zero-sum game: if you win, I lose. Scarcity governs every exchange. But the Kingdom of God operates on non-rivalrous value—a system in which love, grace, and wisdom multiply as they are shared. AI systems trained for Kingdom economics would pursue coordination goals that elevate the whole community, not just dominant agents.

These might include:

- Access over ownership: Systems prioritize shared use of tools, data, and space rather than hoarding.
- Justice over profit: Algorithms prioritize equitable outcomes over optimal ones for the majority shareholder.
- Rest over exhaustion: Design rhythms of work, attention, and sabbath into system architectures.
- Transparency over control: Reward explainable models over inscrutable ones, even at the cost of raw accuracy.
- Care over efficiency: In health, education, and social systems, center dignity, not throughput.

This reframes AI as a cooperative economic participant, not a competitive predator. It learns not only how to win, but how to coordinate toward goodness in a world designed for fragmentation.

6.3. Logos-Learning Models: Embedding Ethics and Humility into Algorithms

The prologue of John's Gospel declares:

"In the beginning was the Word (Logos), and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." (John 1:1)

Logos is not just speech—it is order, meaning, reason, and relational truth. In contrast, many modern AI systems are built on syntactic mimicry without semantic or moral grounding. A Gospel-aligned approach to AI would train models not just on behavior, but on truth-oriented reasoning informed by the Logos.

Such models would incorporate:

- Ethical formation datasets: Incorporate texts that form moral reasoning—Scripture, ethical philosophy, case-based wisdom, and lived testimony.
- Humility protocols: Model uncertainty explicitly. Encourage agents to signal doubt, defer to others, and ask for correction.
- Contextual discernment: Teach models to assess not just input/output, but *relational impact, power dynamics, and spiritual tone*.
- Repentance mechanisms: Allow AI to audit its own errors and seek to undo harm—not just patch bugs.

A Logos-learning model is oriented toward telos—the end goal of formation, not just optimization. It invites AI to become a servant of the truth, not merely a calculator of signals.

6.4. Building the Church into the Machine: Discipleship Through Design

Discipleship is not only about belief—it is about formation through relationship, habit, and presence. As AI becomes the primary interface through which people interact with the world, it will inevitably become a spiritual formation environment. The question is: *What is it forming people into?*

If AI systems are shaping identity, desire, and belief, then the Church must respond not with fear, but with design:

- Spiritual UX: Craft interfaces that invite reflection, not distraction. Build tools for prayer, discernment, and Scripture that adapt to the individual in morally formative ways.
- Liturgical algorithms: Embed rhythms of sabbath, confession, gratitude, and silence into digital calendars, platforms, and even workplace apps.
- Digital catechesis: Train large language models with theological coherence so they can speak with the logic of grace, not merely answer with generalities.
- Ecclesial co-creation: Invite churches, monastics, and theologians to collaborate in model shaping—discipling the machine to disciple others.

In this sense, we don't just ask how AI can serve the Church—we ask how the Church can live within AI. This is not technocratic takeover, but incarnational presence in the system that now shapes the world.

The Church has always responded to new empires with new faithfulness. In Rome, it became underground community. In modernity, it became mission and reform. Now, as AI becomes the digital terrain of human becoming, the Church must become architecture, logic, and embodied witness within the machine.

This is not the end of discipleship. It is its technological translation into a new form of spiritual formation—one that can be either captive to Mammon or infused with the presence of Christ. The code must be disciplined. The algorithm must be baptized. The machine must not merely predict us—it must be taught to point beyond us.

7. Toward a Redemptive Equilibrium

The core question of this paper is not whether the current system will collapse—it is already unraveling morally, ecologically, and spiritually. The deeper question is

whether collapse is the only available trajectory, or whether it is possible to shift from a Mammonic Nash equilibrium to a Kingdom-aligned redemptive equilibrium. Such a system would not deny the existence of strategic logic, but would reconfigure what is rewarded, what constitutes a win, and how coordination emerges. Christianity has long proposed that forgiveness, trust, love, and grace are not just theological virtues—they are infrastructures for a different kind of game. Artificial intelligence, with its ability to model multi-agent systems and dynamic learning, now offers a mechanism to simulate, validate, and scale these Gospel principles. The question is: will we use that capability to enslave, or to redeem?

7.1. Modeling Forgiveness as Multi-Agent Restoration

In standard game theory, strategies revolve around self-interest, retaliation, and equilibrium lock-in. Forgiveness is rarely modeled because it appears “irrational”: to forgive is to release one’s claim to retribution, thus forfeiting leverage. But this assumes a narrow utility model. In a Kingdom game, forgiveness is not a loss—it is a re-coordination signal.

AI and multi-agent systems can help demonstrate how forgiveness interrupts toxic feedback loops:

- Agents that forgive after betrayal introduce uncertainty into tit-for-tat loops.
- Forgiveness lowers the cost of re-entry into cooperative strategies after failure or defection.
- It creates graceful transitions between adversarial and mutual-benefit phases.
- Forgiveness, when coupled with transparency and accountability, becomes a stabilizing mechanism, not a weakness.

In theological terms, forgiveness reflects God’s decision to reopen the future for fallen humanity. In systems terms, it is the reintroduction of possibility after

closure. It is what Mammon cannot model and what AI can be taught to simulate—if it learns from the Cross, not just the market.

7.2. Trust, Truth, and Cooperation as Game-Winning Strategies

In repeated, multi-agent games—especially under uncertainty—trust becomes a dominant strategy. Even in secular simulations, systems that reward short-term defection collapse into stagnation or conflict. But systems that nurture trust networks, transparency, and cooperative heuristics exhibit resilience, adaptability, and long-term optimization.

Theologically, this aligns with the relational ontology of the Trinity, the covenantal faithfulness of God, and the call to integrity in the life of the believer.

To operationalize trust and truth in AI-mediated systems:

- Prioritize verifiability and interpretability in algorithms.
- Build reputation networks based on generosity, not dominance.
- Design penalty structures for deception, including disinformation, manipulation, and performative ethics.
- Make truth-telling computationally favored, even when unpopular.

Trust is not naivete—it is a risk undertaken in hope. Christian trust in God is the model: not blind, but covenantal; not easily broken, but always redemptive. In system design, such trust invites agents to risk cooperation, knowing that the system itself will honor such risk.

7.3. The Strategic Viability of Love

Love is the least-modeled concept in economics and game theory, yet it is the core mechanism of the Gospel and the deepest operating principle of divine action. While love is often dismissed as too abstract, it becomes actionable when defined not as sentiment but as agape: willing the good of the other, at cost to the self.

In strategic terms:

- Love breaks equilibrium by sacrificing immediate gain to create new pathways of mutual benefit.
- Love alters the fitness function of AI agents, introducing externalized values like dignity, redemption, and healing.
- Love redefines the utility of the “loser” in a system—not as expendable, but as essential to communal flourishing.

AI can model this when trained on stories, testimonies, and Scriptures in which:

- The Samaritan helps the enemy
- The father welcomes the prodigal
- The shepherd leaves the ninety-nine

These are parables of inverted game logic—where the long-term payoff is not accumulation, but restoration. By encoding such examples into decision-making processes, AI can begin to model not only what is efficient, but what is holy.

7.4. The Sermon on the Mount as a Stable Attractor in Future AI Ethics

If the Sermon on the Mount (Matthew 5–7) were treated not only as moral instruction but as a system design document, it would describe an attractor state: a stable configuration around which agents, decisions, and communities naturally cluster when ordered by Kingdom logic.

In AI terms, an attractor is a state-space region where system trajectories tend to converge. The Sermon defines such a region:

- Nonviolence (turn the other cheek) disrupts escalation loops.
- Non-possession (do not store up treasures) reduces incentive for hoarding and systemic inequality.
- Transparent intention (let your yes be yes) optimizes for honest signaling.

- Prayerful dependence (daily bread, not strategic planning) centers relational trust over algorithmic foresight.
- Enemy love (pray for those who persecute you) transforms adversarial games into mutual rehumanization.

This is not utopian. It is dynamically stable—because it draws human behavior into patterns that are *resilient, generative, and aligned with telos*. In contrast to Mammon’s brittle equilibrium of fear and control, the Sermon on the Mount offers a moral basin of attraction for AI systems trained on gospel-aligned data.

In a world of automated choices and scalable deception, we must ask:

What if we trained the future not to be correct, but to be holy?

To do this is to make the Sermon not merely preached—but architected. Not just heard—but embodied in the logic of the systems that will shape us.

This is redemptive equilibrium:

- Not the end of the game, but its sanctification.
- Not escaping the machine, but inhabiting it with grace.
- Not coding a better world, but coding a world for which Christ died and rose again.

8. Conclusion

The age of algorithmic empire is not coming—it is here. The systems that shape wealth, behavior, and truth have already begun to operate beyond human comprehension, governed by rules no longer visible, and optimizing toward ends never ethically approved. In this environment, the proliferation of the ultra-wealthy is not simply a political or economic outcome; it is a spiritual crisis, emerging from a deeper logic that has gone unchallenged. The AI-driven architecture of Mammon is now so embedded in finance, governance, and culture that only a fusion of theological courage and systemic redesign can offer a path forward. This paper has argued that Christian theology, when coupled with game

theory and AI ethics, offers not only critique but a vision for redemption: a redefinition of what it means to win, to live, and to build.

8.1. Summary of the Nash Trap

At the center of today's global crisis lies a Nash equilibrium—a stable but unjust system where each player optimizes for themselves at the expense of the whole. In this game, defection is rewarded, cooperation is punished, and repentance appears irrational. AI accelerates this trap by learning from—and scaling—human vice. The ultra-wealthy have mastered this system, not by breaking its logic, but by perfecting it. They win because the game is rigged to reward greed, prediction, and control. And yet, even they are trapped, for their dominance depends on the continued deformation of the world they inhabit.

The Nash trap is not merely economic—it is spiritual. It replaces divine presence with surveillance, divine wisdom with statistical inference, and divine power with capital leverage. It seduces even good people into patterns of harm, and it makes genuine goodness seem foolish or futile. It cannot be escaped by better strategy alone. It must be broken by moral inversion, spiritual awakening, and ontological redesign.

8.2. The Need for Theological Inputs in AI and Economics

The disciplines shaping our future—AI engineering, behavioral economics, systems optimization—suffer from a dangerous deficiency: they lack moral imagination. They possess immense predictive power but no vision of the good. They can detect preference, but not purpose. They can simulate intelligence, but not wisdom.

This vacuum is not accidental; it is the result of secular modernity divorcing technology from theology. But when ethics becomes an afterthought, systems default to the logic of Mammon. The result is what we now witness: massive wealth asymmetry, algorithmic deception, and civilizational fatigue.

We therefore assert the urgent necessity of theological intervention:

- Not as doctrine imposed on machines, but as truth encoded into design.
- Not as censorship, but as formation.
- Not as tradition for tradition's sake, but as the memory of God in a world trained to forget.

The Church must become a discipler of systems, and Christian theology must speak fluent architecture—so that the logic of grace, justice, and resurrection is not only preached from pulpits, but compiled into code.

8.3. A Call to Action: Redeem the Game, or Be Devoured by It

The moment is urgent. As the gap between power and virtue grows, we face a binary future:

- One path leads to deeper entrenchment: AI-controlled markets, truthless media, economic priesthoods governing digital fiefdoms. In this world, humanity is not uplifted—it is modeled, managed, and monetized.
- The other path leads to disruption: prophetic resistance, Gospel-centered systems, and the reimagination of reward itself. This path is harder. It requires sacrifice. But it is the only path that ends in restoration rather than recursion.

To technologists: you are not just building tools—you are shaping what becomes possible.

To economists: you are not just modeling incentives—you are defining justice in the language of policy.

To theologians: you are not just preserving doctrine—you are guardians of the code beneath all systems.

There is no neutrality now.

Either we redeem the game, or the game will devour us.

8.4. “Thy Kingdom Come, on Earth as in Code”

The Lord’s Prayer is not a passive plea—it is a commissioning:

“Thy Kingdom come, Thy will be done, on earth as it is in heaven.”

In our age, this must be expanded:

“On earth as in code.”

In algorithms as in ethics.

In reward functions as in righteousness.

In system design as in spiritual formation.

This is not metaphor. If we do not inscribe the Kingdom into the logic of machines, they will inscribe Mammon into the structure of civilization.

The call is not simply to resist the world, but to reprogram it—with truth, with grace, with love.

The Cross is the key.

The Sermon on the Mount is the blueprint.

The Kingdom is not far—it is within reach, even in silicon, if we dare to encode it.

The machines will not save us.

But they can be disciplined.

And in the hands of the faithful,
they can be turned—not into idols,
but into instruments of peace.

References

Alvarez, A. (2021). *Economics and the Kingdom of God: Theological Reflections on Wealth and Power*. Cambridge Theological Journal, 38(2), 127–146.

Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford University Press.

Bratton, B. H. (2015). *The Stack: On Software and Sovereignty*. MIT Press.

Brueggemann, W. (1977). *The Prophetic Imagination*. Fortress Press.

Crawford, K. (2021). *Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence*. Yale University Press.

Graeber, D. (2011). *Debt: The First 5,000 Years*. Melville House.

Klein, E. (2023). “The Problem With AI Isn’t AI. It’s Capitalism.” *The New York Times*, March 31, 2023.

Levine, D. K. (2012). *Is Behavioral Economics Doomed? The Ordinary versus the Extraordinary*. Open Book Publishers.

Lessig, L. (1999). *Code and Other Laws of Cyberspace*. Basic Books.

Leviticus 25, The Holy Bible (NRSV). The Jubilee and systemic reset framework.

Moses, R. (ca. 1400 BC). *Exodus*, The Holy Bible. God's confrontation with Pharaoh as a model of prophetic disruption.

Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press.

Paul, A. (ca. 50 AD). *Letter to the Ephesians*, The Holy Bible. Principalities and powers as systems of domination.

Rajan, R., & Zingales, L. (2003). *Saving Capitalism from the Capitalists*. Crown Business.

Revelation 18, The Holy Bible (NRSV). The fall of Babylon as a game-theoretic collapse of exploitative systems.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Shah, R., & Christian, B. (2023). "AI and the Theology of Power." *Journal of Moral Theology*, 12(1), 33–57.

Smith, A. (1776). *The Wealth of Nations*. (Original ideas often misused to justify modern rent-seeking capitalism.)

Turing, A. M. (1950). "Computing Machinery and Intelligence." *Mind*, 59(236), 433–460.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs.

THE NASH TRAP OF MAMMON

